

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,434	09/03/2003	Weibin Fei	WF-1-js-mv	5224
7590 01/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael I. Kroll			ZANELLI, MICHAEL J	
171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
- / - · · · · ·			3661	, .

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/654,434	FEI, WEIBIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael J. Zanelli	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		• •			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Se	eptember 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecto frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)		•			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/3/03. A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					
S. Palent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/654,434 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. The application filed 9/3/03 has been examined. Claims 1-21 are pending.

- 2. The IDS filed 9/3/03 has been considered.
- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 3 U.S. Patent No. "5,949,3451" should be --5,949,345--.
- 5. Claims 2, 3 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - A. As per claims 2 and 3, "container" should be --system--.
 - B. As per claim 12, "Includes" should be --includes--.
- 6. Claims 9, 10, 15, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. As per claim 9, "said input/output devices" lacks antecedence (see claim 2).
 - B. As per claim 15, the claim is unclear as recited.
 - C. As per claims 20 and 21, the claims are indefinite because of the use of the term "etc.". Note this term is not required since the transitional phrase "include at least one of" implies that devices other than those specifically listed may be encompassed by the claim.
 - D. All claims depending from a rejected base claim are also rejected as containing the same deficiencies.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,434

Art Unit: 3661

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1-10 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Razavi et al. (6,253,122).
 - A. As per claim 1, Razavi discloses a computer system for a vehicle as essentially shown in Fig. 2 whereby the system includes a processor (22) which is connected to an automotive system of the vehicle (23); a display screen (35) for displaying information concerning the automotive system(s); and means for controlling functions of and operating the automotive system(s) based on displayed information (col. 2, lines 53-57; col. 7, lines 46-54; col. 11, lines 10-12).
 - B. As per claims 2-10, 15-21, as above whereby a plurality of devices may be connected to the computer system (displays, microphone, internet access, GPS receiver, cellphone, video, memory devices, etc.) and can be controlled using input device(s) and information displayed on one or more display screens located in the vehicle as desired (Fig. 2; col. 2, lines 17-25, 48-57; col. 7, lines 7-19, 46-63; col. 8, lines 8-13; col. 10, lines 46-65; col. 11, lines 14-20).

Art Unit: 3661

- 9. Claims 1-10, 15, 16 and 18-21 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beckert et al. (6,009,363).
 - A. As per claim 1, Beckert discloses a computer system for a vehicle as essentially shown in Fig. 2 whereby the system includes a processor (64) which is connected to an automotive system of the vehicle (i.e. 28,26); a display screen (24,54) for displaying information concerning the automotive system(s) and other information; and means for controlling functions of and operating the automotive system(s) based on displayed information (82) (col. 5, lines 20-24, 36-41).
 - B. As per claims 2-10, 15, 16, and 18-21, as above whereby a plurality of devices may be connected to the computer system (joystick/keyboard/mouse, displays, microphone, internet access, GPS receiver, cellphone, memory devices, etc.) and can be controlled using input device(s) and information displayed on one or more display screens located in the vehicle as desired (Figs. 1-3; col. 2, lines 59-64; col. 4, lines 58-61).
- 10. Claims 1-10 and 15-21 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Treyz et al. (6,526,335).
 - A. As per claim 1, Treyz discloses a computer system for a vehicle as essentially shown in Figs. 2-4 whereby the system includes a processor (72) which is connected to an automotive system(s) of the vehicle (174); display screen(s) (88) for displaying information concerning the automotive system(s) and other information; and means (122) for controlling functions of and operating the automotive system(s) based on displayed information.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,434

Art Unit: 3661

B. As per claims 2-10 and 15-21, as above whereby a plurality of devices may be connected to the computer system (keyboard, pointing device, displays, microphone, internet access, GPS receiver, cellphone, cameras, memory devices, etc.) and can be controlled using input device(s) and information displayed on one or more display screens located in the vehicle as desired (Figs. 3, 4; col. 13, lines 12-28; 51-57, 66-67; col. 14, lines 17-20, 34-56; col. 15, lines 27-35; col. 16, lines 31-33, 54-64; col. 17, lines 40-49; col. 19, lines 50-51; col. 22, lines 50-54).

Page 5

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Razavi et al., Beckert et al. and Treyz et al., as applied above, in view of Opel (5,555,502) and St. Pierre et al. (6,418,362).
 - A. Razavi, Beckert and Treyz disclose vehicle computer systems as noted above. The claimed invention differs in that the input devices (keyboard, trackball/mouse) are mounted in or around the steering wheel. However, at the time of applicant's invention it was well-known in the vehicle arts to locate user interfaces in or around the steering wheel to provide the driver easy access to vehicle controls as well as improve vehicle safety by reducing the need to remove one's hands from the steering wheel. For example, Opel discloses an interface (Figs. 1, 2) which locates alphanumeric keys and

Art Unit: 3661

cursor control devices in the center of the steering wheel whereas St. Pierre discloses various cursor control and selection buttons arranged along the perimeter of the steering wheel (Figs. 1-5). One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to utilize these known arrangements of input devices located on the steering wheel in the vehicle computer systems of Razavi, Beckert and Treyz because it would have placed the controls within easy access of the driver as well as improve overall vehicle safety by reducing the need to remove one's hands from the steering wheel.

- 13. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Razavi et al., Beckert et al. and Treyz et al., as applied above, in view of Prince et al. (6,611,250).
 - A. Razavi, Beckert and Treyz disclose vehicle computer systems as noted above. The claimed invention differs in that the input device is designed to be operated by one's foot rather than one's hands/fingers. However, at the time of applicant's invention it was well-known in the computer interface arts to control a cursor and select displayed information using a foot operated mouse. For example, Prince discloses a foot operated mouse which allows one to control the movement of a cursor and select displayed items in a manner similar to a hand operated mouse. The obvious advantage of using a foot operated mouse is the ability to interact with the computer without requiring the use of one's hands. Using a foot operated mouse in the vehicle computer systems of Razavi, Beckert and Treyz would have provided the same obvious advantages as locating the input devices on the steering wheel and would have provided an alternative thereto. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to

Art Unit: 3661

utilize the foot operated mouse in the vehicle computer systems of Razavi, Beckert and Treyz because it would have improved overall vehicle safety by reducing the need to remove one's hands from the steering wheel.

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited documents are representative of the state of the art of vehicle computer systems.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Zanelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-9756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30 AM 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL J. ZANELLI PRIMARY EXAMINER

/mjz